ACS Chemical Neuroscience

Review

pubs.acs.org/chemneuro

Top-Down Control of Serotonin Systems by the Prefrontal Cortex: A Path toward Restored Socioemotional Function in Depression

Collin Challis[†,‡] and Olivier Berton*[,†,‡]

[†]Department of Psychiatry, [‡]Neuroscience Graduate Group, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104, United States

ABSTRACT: Social withdrawal, increased threat perception, and exaggerated reassurance seeking behaviors are prominent interpersonal symptoms in major depressive disorder (MDD). Altered serotonin (5-HT) systems and corticolimbic dysconnectivity have long been suspected to contribute to these symptomatic facets; however, the underlying circuits and intrinsic cellular mechanisms that control 5-HT output during socioemotional interactions remain poorly understood. We review literature that implicates a direct pathway between the ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC) and dorsal raphe nucleus (DRN) in the adaptive and pathological control of social approach–avoidance behaviors. Imaging and neuromodulation during approach–avoidance tasks in humans point to the cortical control of brainstem circuits as an essential regulator of socioemotional decisions and actions. Parallel rodent studies using viral-based connectomics and optogenetics are beginning to provide a cellular blueprint of the underlying circuitry. In these studies, manipulations of vmPFC synaptic inputs to the DRN have revealed bidirectional influences on socioaffective behaviors via direct monosynaptic excitation and indirect disynaptic inhibition of 5-HT neurons. Additionally, adverse social experiences that result in permanent avoidance biases, such as social defeat, drive long-lasting plasticity in this microcircuit, potentiating the indirect inhibition of 5-HT output. Conversely, neuromodulation of the vmPFC via deep brain stimulation (DBS) attenuates avoidance biases by restoring the direct excitatory drive of 5-HT neurons and strengthening a key subset of forebrain 5-HT projections. Better understanding the cellular organization of the vmPFC-DRN pathway and identifying molecular determinants of its neuroplasticity can open fundamentally novel avenues for the treatment of affective disorders.



KEYWORDS: *Dorsal raphe, ventromedial prefrontal cortex, serotonin, optogenetics, electrophysiology, depression, mood disorders, social perception, social defeat, social avoidance*

WHY STUDY SOCIOEMOTIONAL DEFICITS IN MDD?

Humans are social by nature. Almost all of their actions are directed toward or generated in response to other individuals. The ability to regulate one's social behavior in order to optimize social relationships and capitalize on opportunities in the social environment is referred to as social competence, which involves a multitude of cognitive and affective abilities.[1,2] For example, achieving emotional attunement and tempering avoidant and aggressive impulses are necessary skills to establish novel relationships and sustain those relationships through adversity. Impairments in social competence are one of the most prominent and disabling characteristics of psychiatric illnesses that affect a third of the world's population at some point in their life.[3] Socioemotional deficits figure among the core symptoms of several psychiatric disorders such as autism, schizophrenia, and social anxiety disorder, but are not traditionally viewed as a cardinal characteristic of major depressive disorder (MDD). As a result, socioemotional behaviors are rarely used as end points in antidepressant clinical trials (Table 1).[4,5] Yet consistent evidence shows that humans suffering from MDD withdraw socially and engage in

Table 1. Symptoms Associated with MDD[a]

psychological symptoms*	physical symptoms*	social symptoms
guilt, self-doubt, or loss of self-esteem	disturbances in sleep: insomnia or hypersomnia	poor performance at work or school
lack of interest, pleasure, or enthusiasm	abnormal psychomotor activity	interpersonal difficulties at home or with family
concentration or attention span is reduced	fatigue or loss of energy	social withdrawal
recurring thoughts of death or suicide	impaired appetite resulting in weight loss or gain	neglecting hobbies or interests

[a]In addition to depressed mood and sadness, listed are the core symptoms associated with MDD. It is important to note that only symptoms in the categories marked with an asterisk are used to clinically diagnose MDD.

Special Issue: Serotonin Research

Received: January 7, 2015
Revised: February 8, 2015
Published: February 23, 2015

DOI: 10.1021/acschemneuro.5b00007
ACS Chem. Neurosci. 2015, 6, 1040–1054



Figure 1. In the explicit task, individuals are asked to define how close they would approach the subjects on a series of pictures or rate properties associated with their social valence, such as safety or trustworthiness. In the implicit task, automatic processing and tendencies are assessed. Pictures of faces with different emotions (i.e., happy, neutral, angry) are presented for short durations (50 ms). A colored frame surrounds these pictures and subjects are instructed to pull or push a joystick according to the color of the frame presented and reaction times are recorded. Even in the absence of conscious perception, reaction times vary according to the valence of the picture and subjects are faster at avoiding threatening faces. In the murine social interaction test, experimental mice are placed in the corner of an open field arena with a caged off unfamiliar social target on the opposite side. Mice are free to explore the arena while movement is recorded using video tracking software. Undefeated control mice unconditionally approach the target within seconds. One subset of defeated mice display strong avoidance tendencies (vulnerable), while the other will maintain social approach and interaction (resilient).

behaviors that trigger hostility and rejection from interaction partners. These patients often rate their interpersonal difficulties as the most disabling aspect of the disease, which results in the erosion of social support and eventually isolation.[6]

Clinical studies that did include interpersonal functioning as a measurable outcome found that patients with remitted mood-related symptoms, but residual socioemotional deficits, had a greater risk of relapse.[7,8] In contrast, the ability to maintain social engagement or affiliation in the immediate aftermath of adverse life events was a robust early predictor of resilience and stable recovery from depressive episodes.[9] Furthermore, evidence that interpersonal psychotherapies specifically targeting social function are among the most efficacious forms of behavioral treatment for MDD points to socioemotional and interpersonal deficits as an essential pathogenic dimension of the disorder.[10,11] Thus, a greater emphasis on the social facets of MDD symptomatology in neurobiological and preclinical studies is essential for successfully moving toward novel and more effective treatments.

HUMAN STUDIES OF SOCIOEMOTIONAL PROCESSING

Substantial progress has been made during the past decade in identifying circuits that mediate our ability to detect, appraise and respond to the emotional state of others using nonverbal cues. These emotion-relevant cues are delivered during human social interaction via multiple sensory modalities. Visually detected facial expressions are the most salient of these cues and the neural mechanisms underlying this form of emotional recognition have been the focus of most clinical investigations;[12] however, other sensory modalities (e.g., vocal prosody, olfaction, and biological motion) have also been emphasized in related research.[13−17] Though a thorough description of the circuits involved in each of these specific forms of sensory processing and their multimodal integration is beyond the scope of this paper, this topic has been the object of several recent reviews.[18,19]

A widely used standardized laboratory task to evaluate socioaffective processing consists of exposing subjects to sets of composite images representing calibrated facial emotions (i.e., sad, happy, angry).[20] The subjective evaluation of the valence of these images is commonly assessed through the use of rating scales (Figure 1). Typical questions include "how many feet would you stay away from this person" or "how trustworthy is this person."[21] Other experiments have relied on implicit measures of emotion processing, such as reaction time in emotional Stroop tasks.[22] These studies have consistently shown that presentation durations as low as 50 ms are sufficient for humans to extract basic emotional content from visual facial stimuli.[21] This affective information is processed unconsciously and takes precedence over other aspects of visual processing that allow individual recognition. This is revealed by the fact that subjects are often able to emit consistent valence judgments or inferences about complex traits of a facial

DOI: 10.1021/acschemneuro.5b00007
ACS Chem. Neurosci. 2015, 6, 1040−1054

Table 2. Parallel Human and Animal Studies on Socioaffective Behaviors

human studies		animal studies
	stress hormones	
cortisol administration increased attention to social threat especially in social anxiety patients[144]		Corticosterone administration facilitated social avoidance after CSDS;[146] blocking CORT release via adrenalectomy prevented avoidance[147,148]
	mood disorders	
patients clinically diagnosed with MDD reacted differently to emotional faces, rated ambiguous social expression more negatively, and displayed stronger avoidance tendencies in the explicit condition of the AAT, whereas social withdrawal was less pronounced in the implicit condition[31,32]		CSDS[125] and LH[165] models of depression result in long lasting social withdrawal and avoidant behavioral tendencies
	genetic models	
subjects with the short allele of 5-HTTLPR polymorphism displayed stronger social avoidance[166] and increased attention to negative social stimuli[167−169]		in macaques, pictures of high status male were rewarding and induced risk seeking behaviors in 5-HTTLPR long allele carriers, while the opposite was observed in short allele carriers[170]
		SERT −/− mice displayed increased vulnerability to social stress[171] and decreased social dominance[172,173]
		humanized TPH2 (R439H) knock-in (*Tph2KI*) mice displayed increased social avoidance after social defeat[174]
	decreased serotonin	
Tryptophan (TRP) depletion decreased positive appraisal of happy faces[152] or evaluation of social relationships of intimate couples[68] and increased attention toward threatening cues[22]		TRP depletion[151] or 5,7-DHT administration[150] decreased sociability in mice
	increased serotonin	
TRP supplementation increased recognition of happiness[175] and decreased quarrelsome behaviors[176]		TRP administration improved sociability[151]
citalopram administration increased recognition of happy[50] or fearful[177] faces and increased rating of ambiguous faces as positively valenced[71]		citalopram[180] or fluoxetine[137] administration following CSDS restored social approach
MDMA administration enhanced prosocial behaviors[178] and decreased depressive tendencies in social gatherings[179]		MDMA administration decreased aggression and increased social interaction duration[181,182]
	corticolimbic synchrony	
enhanced prefrontal-amygdala coupling was associated with negatively biased evaluation of socioemotional cues in healthy subjects and avoidance tendencies in depressed patients; coupling was reduced by increased serotonin[72]		enhanced cortico-amygdala synchrony predicted vulnerability to CSDS-induced avoidance[163] and is increased in TPH2 −/− mice[164]
	vmPFC manipulation	
cTBS of the aPFC increased emotional dysfunction during social interaction[76]		high frequency (>100 Hz) electrical[154] or optogenetic[184] stimulation of the vmPFC reverses avoidance after social defeat
DBS of the SCG normalized negative self-bias[183] and resulted in social reintegration and lowered social dysfunction[155,156]		elevating excitatory/inhibitory balance via 80 Hz optogenetic activation induced social deficits[185]

DOI: 10.1021/acschemneuro.5b00007
ACS Chem. Neurosci. 2015, 6, 1040−1054

stimulus (e.g., trustworthiness, dominance) while lacking the ability to describe the physical features of the face.[21,23]

Socioemotional cues processed either unconsciously or explicitly have been shown to prompt valence-specific social tendencies in the perceiver, particularly the facilitation of approach or avoidance behavioral responses. These effects are evident in tasks where subjects are instructed to produce motor responses upon presentation of valenced facial stimuli.[24] For instance, in a recently developed approach−avoidance laboratory task, subjects must move toward or away from a visual social target presented on a screen using push−pull movements of a joystick (Figure 1).[25] Convergent results during this task show that healthy subjects avoid threatening stimuli faster than neutral pictures, even if these stimuli are not consciously detected. Functional imaging and neurophysiological methods such as EEG have been used in combination with these laboratory tasks to identify regions and neural networks implicated in the automatic and explicit processing of socioemotuional stimuli as well as during the execution of rapid approach−avoidance responses. Additional studies (mentioned in Table 2) have utilized pharmacology or noninvasive neuromodulation methods such as transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) and direct current stimulation to provide causal evidence for the role of specific biological mechanisms, brain regions, or circuits in healthy subjects and patients with affective disorders.[25,26] Although early views posited a predominant role of subcortical alarm systems in the rapid processing of salient biologically relevant affective signals, current neurobiological models have evolved to emphasize the interactions between cortical and subcortical structures through multiple parallel bottom-up and top-down modulations.[27]

BIASED SOCIOEMOTIONAL PROCESSING AND SOCIAL AVOIDANCE IN DEPRESSION

Interpersonal deficits of MDD patients may arise in part from neurobiological abnormalities that disrupt the normal processing of socioaffective stimuli.[13,28] This concept is based on the observation that subjects suffering from MDD and anxiety tend to preferentially attend to, process, and remember negatively valenced information in their environment, whereas a bias toward positive information is generally observed in healthy subjects.[10] In social contexts, this cognitive bias leads to neutral or ambiguous social stimuli that are normally neglected by healthy subjects to break into consciousness as threats for MDD patients.[29−31] Indeed, during explicit rating tasks, MDD patients consistently rated ambiguous or negative social stimuli more negatively than a healthy individual would. Administration of the Approach−Avoidance Task to social anxiety[20] or MDD[31] patients also revealed increased attention toward negative or threatening social stimuli (i.e., angry, sad) and a tendency to initiate withdrawal responses faster regardless of the emotional valence of the stimuli.[23,32−34] In real world interactions, this negatively biased socioemotional processing might lead MDD patients to misattribute negative intentions and motives to others and anticipate negative judgment or rejection with exaggerated defensiveness and withdrawal.[32,35]

CIRCUITS UNDERLYING BIASED SOCIOAFFECTIVE PROCESSING IN MDD: KEY ROLE OF THE VENTROMEDIAL PREFRONTAL CORTEX

In the last 10 years, consistent imaging and neurophysiological data have revealed the aberrant morphology and reactivity of the prefrontal cortex in MDD patients[36−43] and its normalization after successful chronic antidepressant treatment.[32,44−50] In socioemotional processing studies, classical antidepressants rapidly remediate negative biases in MDD patients. This rapid action contrasts with the slow onset of the therapeutic effects on mood. It has been proposed that restored emotional processing may slowly foster improvement in mood by providing a more positive social environment in which the patient can relearn positive emotional associations.[51] Neural signatures of this neuroplastic process have been repeatedly identified in the ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC), a cluster of contiguous and functionally related areas in the primate frontal lobe comprised of Brodmann areas 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 25, and 32.[52−54] It is important to note that the rationale for considering the vmPFC a single functionally homogeneous structure remains controversial,[55] as several areas within the vmPFC cluster have distinct cytorachitecture and a unique pattern of input-output connections.[56,57] Nevertheless, the vmPFC has been the focus of numerous studies in humans and animals leading to its classification as a control center for executive function, emotional valuation, autonomic control and social cognition.[58,59]

Functional abnormalities in the vmPFC may contribute in particular to the socioemotional facets of MDD symptoms, as this collection of regions shows striking overlap with the networks engaged when individuals make social approach or avoidance decisions.[60] Seminal observations that connected the vmPFC to social function were made by Damasio and colleagues who characterized the deficits of patients suffering from frontal lesions.[61] These individuals had abnormal autonomic responses to visual social stimuli and social decision-making deficits. A more recent eye tracking study in a cohort with similar lesions revealed a decreased capacity of these patients to attend to the specific features of the face that carry high emotional value such as the eyes or mouth.[62] These observations are in line with results in healthy individuals that show that the vmPFC is engaged during social attention and the processing of social sensory information.[63,64] The essential role of the vmPFC in the effortful control of avoidance responses during social interactions was recently revealed by a study that used continuous theta bursts with TMS to noninvasively inhibit the activity of the vmPFC in subjects during an approach−avoidance task.[25] They found that subjects whose vmPFC was selectively inhibited committed more errors when they had to override automatic avoidance tendencies in order to apply rule-based responses. The errors coincided with an upregulation in the activity of the insula and amygdala, two regions downstream of the vmPFC implicated in automatic processing.

It is likely that the vmPFC executes adaptive social behavioral decisions by computing valence and personal relevance of social stimuli and integrating this high-level multisensory information with the activity of subcortical limbic and brainstem structures, which control other elementary aspects of emotions such as autonomic and motor responses.[65,66] Among the downstream regions controlled by the vmPFC are the nucleus accumbens and amygdala, as well mesencephalic and pontine monoaminergic nuclei containing dopamine and serotonin producing neurons. The following sections of this Review focus on the circuitry underlying the reciprocal interaction of serotonin systems and the vmPFC during social interaction.

DOI: 10.1021/acschemneuro.5b00007
ACS Chem. Neurosci. 2015, 6, 1040−1054



Figure 2. Topographic organization of vmPFC-DRN circuitry. (Top) Our viral tracing and electrophysiological experiments support a hypothetical architecture where glutamatergic layer V vmPFC neurons send afferents to the rostral and mid DRN that synapse predominantly on paramedial GABA neurons that mediate feedforward inhibition of 5-HT neurons. In contrast, in the caudal DRN, vmPFC inputs shift to the midline and directly innervate 5-HT neurons. (Bottom) Confocal images and composite overlay depict the localization of DRN GABAergic (*GAD2-tdTomato*) and serotonergic (*Pet1-tdTomato*) neurons and the relative distribution of vmPFC axonal terminals traced anterogradely using a Synaptophysin-GFP fusion protein virally targeted to vmPFC *CaMKIIA*-positive neurons. Topographic cross-correlations (Pearson R) of *Syn-GFP* signal versus *GAD2-* or *Pet1-tdTomato* signal across the rostro-caudal axis of the DRN are shown on the graph.

SEROTONIN INFLUENCES ON SOCIAL BEHAVIORS

Serotonin (5-HT) is a phylogenetically ancient neuromodulator that exerts a wide range of influences on physiology and behavior through its action on 15 different receptors.[67] One of the most consistent roles attributed to 5-HT based on data collected from humans and animals relates to its influence on the expression of behaviors along the affiliative-aggressive axis. In humans, depleting 5-HT by reducing the dietary source of its precursor L-tryptophan, or boosting 5-HT via administration of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors has respectively been shown to induce negative and positive shifts in social perception (Table 2).[68−73] Variants of genes that control 5-HT synaptic availability, such as the serotonin transporter (5-HTT)[74−76] and the 5-HT synthesizing enzyme tryptophan hydroxylase (TPH)[77,78] have also been associated with alterations in socioemotional behaviors, such as differential sensitivity to the rewarding properties of social cues or reactivity to unfairness.[79] Differential corticolimbic responses to these cues have also been reported in carriers of these polymorphisms.[76−78] The effect of 5-HT on social behavior is likely to be mediated in part by its effects on neurons from the frontal cortex, as this area is one of the most enriched in serotonergic axons and 5-HT receptors. 5-HT modulates the excitability of cortical neurons and their discharge rate through the activation of several receptor subtypes, of which 5-HT1_A, 5-HT1_B, 5-HT2_A, and 5-HT3 play major roles.[80−82]

The primary serotonergic nuclei that innervate the prefrontal cortex in mammals are the median and dorsal raphe nucleus (MRN, DRN), two brain stem structures that provide the bulk of serotonergic afferents to the forebrain. Though the DRN and MRN are the two largest serotonergic cell groups, imaging them *in vivo* in humans remains a challenge due to their relatively small size and deep location in the brainstem.[83,84] Only with the most recent advances in imaging methods has it become possible to visualize individual raphe nuclei in awake subjects and conduct probabilistic tractographic analyses of major fiber tracts to these brainstem nuclei.[85] This is an important issue as tractography indicates that brainstem nuclei

DOI: 10.1021/acschemneuro.5b00007
ACS Chem. Neurosci. 2015, 6, 1040−1054

are among key downstream regions likely to mediate the effects of vmPFC neurostimulation for the treatment of MDD.[86] Imaging studies together with post-mortem investigations indicate that the distribution of raphe nuclei cell clusters and the architecture of the frontopontine fiber tract are well conserved across mammals.[87,88] A small number of studies have begun identifying volumetric alterations and structural abnormalities in brainstem fiber tracts that connect the raphe with the frontolimbic systems in MDD patients, although abnormalities in functional connectivity have yet to be reported.[89,90] Nevertheless, combined use of PET and fMRI in healthy subjects has provided evidence for negative correlations between the density of 5-HT_{1A} receptors in the DRN and amygdala reactivity to threats, suggesting that the capacity for DRN neuron autoinhibition predicts cotricolimbic reactivity.[91] Histological studies of the DRN in postmortem tissues of MDD and suicide victims have revealed differences in levels of certain 5-HT-specific markers such as 5-HT_{1A} and 5-HT_{2A} receptors,[92,93] TPH,[94−96] and certain transcription factors controlling gene expression in 5-HT neurons.[97−100] However, evidence directly linking alterations of serotonergic systems with symptoms of mood disorders remains limited and confirmation will require replications in larger cohorts.[86,90] The fact that over half of the DRN cell population is composed of nonserotonergic cells[101,102] including glutamatergic,[103] GABAergic,[104] and dopaminergic neurons[105] that in some cases exert opposite effects on behavior and connectivity complicates the interpretation of human data derived from imaging or tissue homogenates.[106,107] Because studies in many different organisms ranging from insects and fishes[108−111] to rodents and primates have shown that altering levels of 5-HT influences the expression of affiliative-aggressive behaviors,[112] researchers have turned to these simpler models to more finely dissect the DRN microcircuit and its interaction with corticolimbic systems during socioaffective behaviors.

DISSECTING THE CELLULAR ARCHITECTURE OF THE vmPFC-DRN PATHWAYS IN ANIMALS

There is strong evidence dating from the 1990s that the vmPFC is connected with the DRN via reciprocal monosynaptic projections; however, the methods used to characterize these circuits have left areas of uncertainty regarding the cellular architecture of the pathway. This area of investigation was recently energized by a paradigm-shifting article published by Warden and colleagues in 2012.[186] This study used viral tracing, optogenetics and in vivo multielectrode recordings to establish the key role of a sparse contingent of DRN-projecting vmPFC neurons that encode immobility during the forced swim test, a classic antidepressant drug screening paradigm. Within the past few years, further advances in mouse transgenics, viral tracing, and connectomics methods have allowed for exponential progress in the characterization of this pathway. The first efforts to trace the vmPFC-DRN pathway relied on conventional retrograde and anterograde tracers such as biotinylated dextran amine and horseradish peroxidase.[87,113−120] Most of these studies were conducted in the rat or nonhuman primates and, in a few instances, combined tracing with ultrastructural methods or in vivo electrophysiology to test functional connectivity. These studies showed that the vmPFC sends robust direct afferents to the DRN; however, the majority of axons anterogradely traced in the DRN represented fibers of passage and only 6% of traced axons formed synaptic contacts.[115,121] Electron microscopy analysis of dual labeling in the DRN for mPFC afferents and *Tph2* (5-HT neurons) or GABA revealed a greater frequency of mPFC terminals synapsing on GABA-labeled dendrites versus *Tph2*-labeled dendrites.[115] This suggested the existence of a disynaptic microcircuit mediating the inhibitory influence of the cortex on 5-HT neurons (Figure 2). Electrophysiological evidence supported this hypothetical organization when electrical stimulation of the rat mPFC caused a predominant reduction in the firing rates of DRN 5-HT neurons in vivo that was blocked by GABA antagonists.[114,122] However, these earlier studies provided little information about the actual topographical organization of these cellular ensembles.

To overcome these limitations, our group recently generated two transgenic mouse lines with fluorescently labeled GABA (*GAD2-tdTomato*) or 5-HT (*Pet1-tdTomato*) neurons.[123] Using these mice, we mapped the relative distribution of GABA versus 5-HT cell types in the DRN and defined for the first time the morphological and electrophysiological signatures of genetically identified GABA neurons in the DRN.[123] We found little overlap between the areas occupied by 5-HT and GABA neurons in the DRN (Figure 2). Serotonergic neurons sit in the midline portion of the nucleus, whereas GABAergic neurons were found primarily at paramedial locations such as the lateral wings and ventrolateral periaqueductal gray (PAG). We also determined that GABA neurons are of smaller size than serotonergic neurons, have a greater excitability, and are often spontaneously active in slice preparations, while 5-HT neurons are silent.[104,124] Using optogenetics in these mice, we demonstrated that DRN GABA neurons inhibit 5-HT neurons concentrated in the midline in the same slice. To examine the relative distribution of vmPFC afferents with regard to GABA and 5-HT cells, we developed a viral vector system to selectively express a fluorescent Synaptophysin-GFP (*SynP-GFP*) fusion protein in excitatory neurons of the vmPFC.[125] Because this protein is targeted to presynaptic densities, it acts as an anterograde tracer that labels synaptic contacts while excluding fibers of passage. Using this vector, we found that synapses formed by vmPFC axons in the DRN are topographically distributed and follow a rostrocaudal gradient (Figure 2). In the rostral DRN, most vmPFC synapses were found in the lateral wings area overlapping with GABA neurons clusters. In contrast, vmPFC synapses were concentrated in the midline in the caudal DRN and overlapped with 5-HT neurons. This gradient suggests that vmPFC inputs are likely to disynaptically inhibit serotonergic output from the rostral DRN, but monosynaptically stimulate serotonergic output from the caudal DRN.[125] Because rostral and caudal DRN 5-HT neurons project to distinct forebrain targets, this hypothetical microcircuit places the DRN GABA population in a critical position to gate top-down influence from vmPFC on a key subset of mood-regulating rostral 5-HT neurons.[126−128]

Our results showing regional overlap between *SynP-GFP*-labeled vmPFC axonal boutons and GABA or 5-HT neurons suggest the existence of synaptic contacts, but do not provide functional evidence for this connectivity. A number of approaches have been used to address this question. One takes advantage of the retrograde transsynaptic properties of genetically modified rabies viruses to map synaptic connections between identified cell types in a network. Weissbourd et al. and Pollak Dorocic et al. recently used this type of rabies virus-based approach in combination with in situ hybridization and brain slice electrophysiology to comprehensively map and quantitate the origin of synaptic inputs to DRN serotonergic

DOI: 10.1021/acschemneuro.5b00007
ACS Chem. Neurosci. 2015, 6, 1040−1054

and GABAergic neurons.[127,128] They utilized *GAD2-Cre* and *SERT-Cre* transgenic drivers to target GABA and 5-HT neurons respectively and employed two different forms of an avian virus receptor (TVA) to optimize their anatomical tracing of either long-range or local intra-DRN connectivity. Their results show that prefrontocortical inputs amount to roughly 15% of the total synaptic input received by DRN neurons. This suggests that the functional influence of cortical inputs on the DRN is likely to be weaker than certain subcortical inputs such as the hypothalamus (30% of input). Surprisingly, retrograde labeling of cortical neurons was denser when starter cells were DRN 5-HT neurons rather than GABA neurons, indicating, in contrast with our data, that the PFC preferentially innervates DRN 5-HT cells (10%) over GABA neurons (5%). In situ hybridization results showed that virtually all of these cortical inputs were glutamatergic, in agreement with previous tracing studies showing that most DRN-projecting cortical neurons are localized in layer V,[117,118] a typical location for subcortically projecting pyramidal neurons.[57,129,130] Approximately 2% of DRN inputs identified by Weissbourd et al. originated from the prelimbic cortex (PL) and less than 0.5% were from the infralimbic cortex (IL), two regions whose combination corresponds to the human vmPFC. These results are in line with earlier tracing studies showing that the PL is the predominant source of vmPFC inputs to the DRN.[113,116,118] Unexpectedly though, among all prefrontocortical areas, the insular cortex (3%) proved to be the major source of inputs to the DRN.

To test the functional architecture of the PFC-DRN pathway, several groups including our own have conducted *Channelrhopsin2*-assisted circuit mapping (CRACM). This analysis uses optogenetically evoked EPSCs as an index of functional connectivity between 2 regions. Our group was the first to report this type of analysis between the vmPFC and DRN.[125] We expressed *Channelrhodopsin2* (*ChR2*) in vmPFC pyramidal neurons using an AAV virus driven by the *CaMKIIA* promoter and recorded from identified serotonergic and GABAergic neurons in the DRN of *tdTomato* reporter lines. Optogenetically activating vmPFC terminals reliably triggered *cFos* expression and laser-locked EPSCs in GABAergic neurons of the lateral wings, but not in midline 5-HT cells, suggesting that the former are the preferential functional targets of vmPFC projections.[123] In contrast, using similar approaches, Weissbourd et al.[128] and Pollak Dorocic et al.[127] found that cortical axon photoactivation was twice as efficient at triggering EPSCs in 5-HT neurons than in GABA neurons.

Given the gradient described above, inconsistencies between the results from ours and others' CRACM experiments investigating the PFC-DRN pathway might reflect distinctions in the rostrocaudal localization of the DRN cells selected for recording, as well as in the cortical areas targeted by viral injections (vmPFC in our study versus anterior cingulate in Weissbourd et al. versus anterior PFC in Pollak Dorocic et al.). In addition, differences may also be explained by technical factors such as differences in the viral vectors used to express *ChR2* (i.e., AAV serotypes and promoters) or strategies used to identify cells for recording (e.g., viral versus transgenic expression of *tdTomato*; *Pet1-Cre* versus *SERT-Cre* to identify 5-HT neurons). Although our understanding of the architecture of the vmPFC-DRN could still be improved by an even greater refinement in the definition of various cellular subsets in the DRN, the recent studies described above provide detailed groundwork to start examining the function and plasticity of these circuits *in vivo* and test their influence on socioaffective behaviors.

CHRONIC SOCIAL DEFEAT STRESS (CSDS): AN ANIMAL MODEL OF SOCIOEMOTIONAL BIAS?

Modeling psychiatric disorders in animals poses major challenges;[131] however, a number of animal procedures offer opportunities to isolate specific dimensions or endophenotypes of diseases in order to probe their underlying neurobiology. Chronic social defeat stress (CSDS) takes advantage of ethologically relevant threats in mice (i.e., dyadic aggressive interactions) to precipitate a multidimensional stress-induced syndrome that resembles certain features of clinical depression and comorbid anxiety disorders, including circadian disruption, HPA axis dysregulation, anhedonia, and socioaffective deficits, each of which occur with distinct temporal dynamics.[132−136] The primary behavioral end point of the CSDS model is altered social approach−avoidance behavior. This variable is tested in a social interaction task where experimental mice must confront an unfamiliar social target contained in a wire mesh box and are evaluated for their decisions to approach or avoid the target (Figure 1). In many ways, this task provides an animal parallel to the approach−avoidance laboratory task used in humans and described above. To induce avoidance, mice in the "defeat" experimental group are subjected to brief experiences of physical aggression from trained CD1 aggressors followed by continuous protected sensory contact with the aggressor (Figure 3). In contrast, control mice are housed peacefully among dyads of the same strain and live under sensory contact conditions replicating those of the defeated mice. For naïve mice, unfamiliar social targets appear positively valenced as virtually all undefeated control mice make the rapid choice to approach the unfamiliar target during the social interaction task and remain engaged in social interaction during the entire duration of the test. In contrast, most defeated mice (roughly 70%, termed vulnerable) develop a social aversion, characterized by a delayed latency to start investigating the target followed by vigorous retreat and persistent immobility in the corners of the arena (Figure 1).



Figure 3. Chronic social defeat stress paradigm. In CSDS, mice are first exposed to social defeat training, which involves physical aggression from a trained CD-1 mouse followed by sensory contact protected by a plexiglass divider. After 10 days of defeat with exposure to a novel aggressor each day, social behaviors are characterized in the social interaction test (described in Figure 1). Depicted here is photomanipulation of the subject mouse during the sensory contact period.

Our experiments have determined that the avoidance response is a "learned behavior" and its encoding depends critically on the daily sensory contact period that follows each episode of physical defeat.[123] We determined that 20 min of post defeat sensory exposure each day is necessary and

DOI: 10.1021/acschemneuro.5b00007
ACS Chem. Neurosci. 2015, 6, 1040−1054

sufficient to trigger a maximal avoidance response. This is suggestive of an associative process that occurs during the early phase of the post defeat sensory exposure and leads to the consolidation of the social avoidance response. During the social interaction test, the expression of the avoidance behavior is triggered by social cues emitted by the behaviorally active social target. This was deduced from the observation that defeated mice do not avoid an inanimate novel object or an anesthetized social target.[137] However, the avoidance response is not conditioned to cues that are specific of the aggressors, as avoidance generalizes to any unfamiliar social target, including mice from a different strain and devoid of territorial aggression.[137] The exact nature of these sensory cues is currently unknown, but could involve a combination of visual, olfactory, and ultrasonic cues.[138] The avoidance response is long lasting and does not extinguish upon repeated testing.[137,139,140] CSDS-induced avoidance can thus be conceptualized as a learned aversive response to socioemotional cues that is overgeneralized and overconsolidated. The reliance of CSDS on this learned socioemotional response instead of a response to an innately aversive stimulus (i.e., shock or forced water immersion used in other antidepressant screens) is a major distinguishing feature of the model. This difference implies that the brain systems and plasticity mechanisms engaged by CSDS are likely to differ considerably from those at play in other models of depression, such as the learned helplessness paradigm, the tail suspension test, or the forced swim test.[141,142] The CSDS model does not respond to acute administration of benzodiazepine anxiolytics, but is responsive to SSRIs, tricyclic antidepressants, ketamine, and DBS with clinically relevant time-courses.[137,143]

The primary sensory modalities humans and rodents rely on to execute rapid approach−avoidance decisions are very likely to differ. Vision is predominant in humans while olfaction is likely to be the primary sensory process at play in the murine test. However, it is reasonable to draw a translational parallel regarding the executive processes at play. A subpopulation in each cohort of defeated mice (roughly 30%, termed resilient) will maintain social approach despite experiencing similar level of aggression. Like in the human approach−avoidance task, the sustained approach behavior of these resilient mice can be interpreted as an ability to actively overcome automatic avoidance responses triggered by the ambiguous social target.[125] The comparison of cohorts stratified into resilient and susceptible subpopulations (Figure 1) allows the dissection of the neurobiological mechanisms underlying active adaptation versus vulnerability to the effects of psychosocial stress.

Since our original description of the CSDS model, the work from several groups applying innovative approaches such as optogenetics and multiregion in vivo recording has contributed to an increased molecular and circuit-level understanding of the mechanisms underlying resilient and vulnerable phenotypes (Table 2). In parallel with the human literature on the role of stress hormones in the development of socioaffective bias,[144] glucocorticoids have been shown to mediate the development of social avoidance, in part by acting on adult-born neurons[145] as well as dopaminergic and serotonergic systems.[146−148] Tph2 knockin mice with a mutation analogous to the rare human R441H variant of the *TPH2* gene, which leads to an 80% reduction in 5-HT levels, have corticolimbic hypersynchrony and increased vulnerability to various stressors including social defeat.[149,187] This genetic effect can be mimicked by pharmacological depletion of 5-HT,[150,151] an effect that resembles the influence of tryptophan depletion in approach−avoidance tests and socioemotional processing in human subjects.[22,68,152] High frequency electrical stimulation of the vmPFC, a treatment that enhances 5-HT release in the forebrain,[153] has been shown to restore social approach behavior in defeated mice.[154] This effect replicates the action of vmPFC DBS on negative bias and mood related symptoms in MDD patients.[155,156]

In all, these results underlie the striking cross-species parallels that exist regarding the roles of the vmPFC and serotonergic systems in socioemotional responses. This supports the hypothesis that the plasticity of the vmPFC-DRN pathway that links these two systems may contribute to the encoding or expression of social avoidance across species.

SOCIAL-EXPERIENCE-INDUCED STRUCTURAL AND FUNCTIONAL PLASTICITY OF THE vmPFC-DRN MICROCIRCUITS

We have reported that exposure to CSDS followed by protected sensory contact with an aggressor induces the accumulation of *cFos* and Δ*FosB* in the DRN.[123,157] These markers of activity-dependent neuroplasticity were expressed specifically by a cluster of GABA cells in the lateral wings of the DRN, the area that receives the densest axonal input from the vmPFC. This suggests that the neuroplastic changes occur in DRN GABA neurons might be driven by vmPFC inputs and facilitate in encoding a shift in social valence after social defeat. To test this hypothesis, we examined whether the electrical and morphological properties of serotonergic and GABAergic neurons were altered in the DRN after CSDS in a manner that correlates with the expression of social avoidance. After stratifying mice as resilient or susceptible after 10 days of CSDS, we performed whole-cell recordings on fluorescently tagged 5-HT or GABA neurons from transgenic mice to unambiguously identify the cellular populations. In susceptible mice that developed social avoidance, we found that DRN GABAergic neurons in the lateral wings were hyperexcitable and had increased glutamatergic input compared to controls, whereas serotonergic neurons showed opposite changes, namely, a reduced intrinsic excitability and increased GABAergic input (Figure 4).[123] Furthermore, morphological analysis of filled 5-HT neurons revealed an increase in size and complexity of the proximal dendrites in vulnerable mice.[146] Lastly, we also observed a drastic reduction in the number and density of *SynP-GFP* labeled varicosities along serotonergic axons, a sensitive index of presynaptic plasticity and release efficacy.[154] None of these electrophysiological or structural changes were observed in resilient mice.

Given our previous observations that DRN GABA neurons receive synaptic contacts from the vmPFC and inhibit nearby 5-HT neurons, the results summarized above suggest that CSDS may lead to maladaptive sensitization of the disynaptic inhibition of 5-HT cells while simultaneously depressing the intrinsic excitability of 5-HT neurons.[123] In line with this prediction, microdialysis experiments ongoing in our lab indicate that CSDS-resilient mice respond to sensory re-exposure to an aggressor with a dramatic increase in 5-HT release in the vmPFC whereas vulnerable mice do not show this response. This suggests that activation of serotonergic neurons and 5-HT release in the PFC and possibly other regions may contribute to the ability of resilient mice to maintain social approach after CSDS (Figure 5). This mechanism would be in line with recent evidence that the firing of certain subsets of

DOI: 10.1021/acschemneuro.5b00007
ACS Chem. Neurosci. 2015, 6, 1040−1054



Figure 4. Hypothesized adaptations in the vmPFC-DRN microcircuit associated with defeat-induced avoidance and its normalization by vmPFC DBS. Depicted are changes in intrinsic cellular excitability, synaptic activity (EPSC, IPSC), and corresponding social approach/avoidance behavior profiles (shown as video tracking heat maps). Compared to controls, in susceptible mice, we observed hyperexcitable GABA neurons that had increased glutamatergic input and hypoexcitable 5-HT neurons that had increased GABAergic input. After chronic vmPFC DBS, there was a neuroplastic remodeling of DRN microcircuitry that normalized GABA-mediated hyperinhibition of 5-HT neurons and facilitated a direct excitatory synaptic drive of 5-HT neurons.



Figure 5. Neuronal targets downstream of the vmPFC-DRN pathway in socioaffective behaviors. This schematic depicts vmPFC control of the DRN and the structures likely to be affected by modulated DRN serotonergic projections in socioaffective behavior. Reciprocal projections to the vmPFC have been implicated in impulsivity and negative affective bias, 5-HT activity in the amygdala has been linked to fear and threat processing, and projections to the pathway between the VTA and NAc have been shown to affect reward behaviors.

serotonergic neurons tonically increases in vivo during expectation of a reward[158,159] and mediates social reward signals through release of 5-HT and activation of 5-HT1_B receptors on corticostriatal axon terminals in the nucleus accumbens (NAc).[160] Several recent studies have also implicated subsets of ventral tegmental area (VTA) projecting DRN serotonergic and glutamatergic neurons in the encoding of reward.[161] These findings provide mechanistic bases to understand the reduced social motivation and negative shifts in social perception observed after depleting 5-HT (Table 2).[22,73,95]

To determine whether vmPFC-driven disynaptic inhibition of 5-HT neurons was sufficient to promote social avoidance we performed in vivo photoactivation of glutamatergic vmPFC terminals in the DRN of mice that were exposed only to sensory contact with a CD1 aggressor through a plexiglass partition. Even in the absence of physical aggression, photoactivation resulted in the development of subsequent avoidance responses that recapitulated the behavior of defeated mice.[125] To test whether this circuit mechanism was necessary for the development of social avoidance, we photoinhibited either the vmPFC inputs to the DRN or DRN GABA neurons during the daily postdefeat sensory contact period. Under these conditions, we found that mice exposed to CSDS did not become avoidant, confirming the causal role of the vmPFC-DRN disynaptic circuit in the encoding of social avoidance.[123,125] Interestingly, in contrast with this key role in the associative process leading to avoidance, altering the top-down inhibitory control of raphe circuits by the vmPFC did not appear to affect the expression of a previously learned avoidance response. Indeed, acute optogenetic inhibition of vmPFC inputs to the DRN or silencing DRN GABAergic neurons during the social interaction task did not inhibit avoidance of mice previously defeated without stimulation.[123,125] On the other hand, chronic treatment with vmPFC DBS, when applied for 5 h daily over a week, did restore approach behaviors of mice previously characterized as vulnerable. This effect was obtained using parameters that replicated clinically used stimulation conditions and was associated with a desensitization of DRN GABA neurons and a restoration of the intrinsic excitability and morphology of 5-HT neurons.[154] Furthermore, DBS potentiated the direct glutamatergic drive of 5-HT cells, as revealed by an enhanced frequency of mEPSCs and increased density of PSD95 puncta on 5-HT dendrites above baseline. Surprisingly, DBS also restored the density of 5-HT axonal boutons in a projection-specific manner, leading to a greater serotonin release capacity in the vmPFC. The fact that high frequency stimulation of the vmPFC by DBS can restore social approach once avoidance has already been stabilized, but not local bidirectional optogenetic modulation of vmPFC axons in the DRN, suggests that this therapeutic effect may require the combined activation of several downstream targets of the vmPFC, which in turn may converge on the DRN.

Altogether our results thus confirm the hypothesis that CSDS sensitizes vmPFC driven disynaptic inhibition of 5-HT neurons, thereby reducing 5-HT output in innervated regions to alter the affective impact of an adverse social experience and promoting generalized social aversion in vulnerable mice (Figure 4). Although the mechanism whereby resilient mice are protected from the effects of CSDS and evade sensitization of vmPFC-DRN pathway remains unclear, it is possible to formulate hypotheses based on recent studies using the same model. The Dzirasa group recently combined optogenetics and in vivo multiregion recordings in mice to show that stimulation of the vmPFC resulted in evoked potentials in numerous subcortical and limbic structures, including the amygdala and NAc as well as in aminergic neuromodulatory centers like the DRN and VTA.[162] Surprisingly, vmPFC evoked responses occurred significantly faster in the DRN than in limbic regions despite this structure being more anatomically distal from the vmPFC. This faster transmission velocity in the vmPFC-DRN

DOI: 10.1021/acschemneuro.5b00007
ACS Chem. Neurosci. 2015, 6, 1040−1054

pathway places the DRN in a position to modulate threat sensitivity by acting as a closed-loop regulator that can synchronize or desynchronize corticolimbic networks by independently controlling excitability of the hub (i.e., vmPFC) and its distributed downstream targets. Further work by the Dzirasa laboratory has also shown that naturally occurring differences in corticolimbic synchrony correlates with trait vulnerability to CSDS, with greater phase locking between the PFC and amygdala predicting increased vulnerability.[163] Interestingly, as mentioned above, animal and human studies have shown that 5-HT is a major regulator of vmPFC-amygdala coupling and reducing 5-HT using genetic mouse models of 5-HT deficiency or pharmacological treatments has been shown to favor cortico-amygdala hypersynchrony and enhance vulnerability to social defeat (Figure 5).[164]

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Evidence grows to suggest that biased socioemotional processing is a core cognitive component of MDD that contributes to interpersonal deficits in this disorder. Socio-emotional processing is under key control by the prefrontal cortex and serotonergic systems in part via a direct vmPFC-DRN circuit whose plasticity is targeted by long-term antidepressant treatment and DBS. Relying on translationally meaningful models for the recapitulation of socioaffective symptoms of MDD, studies from our lab and others summarized in this review have started establishing a cellular blueprint for the organization of this pathway and identifying key neuroplastic events that mediate normal and pathological regulation of socioaffective function. An important next step for future studies will be to define how vmPFC-driven neuroplastic adaptations of specific subsets of serotonergic neurons translate into modifications of corticolimbic circuit dynamics. A further goal will be to delve deeper into the molecular mechanisms that underlie the synaptic adaptations of DRN neurons. Our hope is that these approaches will offer new insights into more efficacious pharmacological or circuit-based therapeutics that target the socioemotional aspects of depressive symptomology.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: bertonol@mail.med.upenn.edu.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Writing of this review was supported by grants from the National Institute of Mental Health MH087581 to Olivier Berton.

ABBREVIATIONS

5-HTTLPR, serotonin transporter linked polymorphic region; AAT, approach avoidance task; aPFC, anterior prefrontal cortex; ATD, acute tryptophan depletion; CORT, corticosterone; CSDS, chronic social defeat stress; cTBS, chronic theta burst stimulation; DBS, deep brain stimulation; LH, learned helplessness; MDD, major depressive disorder; MDMA, methylenedioxymethamphetamine; SCG, subcallosal cingulate; SERT, serotonin transporter; TRP, tryptophan; TPH, tryptophan hydroxylase; vmPFC, ventromedial prefrontal cortex

REFERENCES

(1) Oliveira, R. F. (2009) Social behavior in context: Hormonal modulation of behavioral plasticity and social competence. *Integr. Comp Biol. 49*, 423−440.
(2) Taborsky, B., and Oliveira, R. F. (2012) Social competence: an evolutionary approach. *Trends Ecol. Evol 27*, 679−688.
(3) Whitton, S. W., Larson, J. J., and Hauser, S. T. (2008) Depressive symptoms and bias in perceived social competence among young adults. *J. Clin. Psychol. 64*, 791−805.
(4) Bech, P. (2005) Social functioning: should it become an endpoint in trials of antidepressants? *CNS Drugs 19*, 313−324.
(5) Billeke, P., Boardman, S., and Doraiswamy, P. M. (2013) Social cognition in major depressive disorder: A new paradigm? *Transl. Neurosci. 4*, 437−447.
(6) Hames, J. L., Hagan, C. R., and Joiner, T. E. (2013) Interpersonal processes in depression. *Annu. Rev. Clin. Psychol. 9*, 355−377.
(7) Paykel, E. S. (2008) Partial remission, residual symptoms, and relapse in depression. *Dialogues Clin. Neurosci. 10*, 431−437.
(8) Hirschfeld, R. M. A., Dunner, D. L., Keitner, G., Klein, D. N., Koran, L. M., Kornstein, S. G., Markowitz, J. C., Miller, I., Nemeroff, C. B., Ninan, P. T., Rush, A. J., Schatzberg, A. F., Thase, M. E., Trivedi, M. H., Borian, F. E., Crits-Christoph, P., and Keller, M. B. (2002) Does psychosocial functioning improve independent of depressive symptoms? A comparison of nefazodone, psychotherapy, and their combination. *Biol. Psychiatry 51*, 123−133.
(9) Mathews, A., and MacLeod, C. (2005) Cognitive vulnerability to emotional disorders. *Annu. Rev. Clin. Psychol. 1*, 167−195.
(10) Beck, A. T. (2008) The evolution of the cognitive model of depression and its neurobiological correlates. *Am. J. Psychiatry 165*, 969−977.
(11) Markowitz, J. C., and Weissman, M. M. (2004) Interpersonal psychotherapy: Principles and applications. *World Psychiatry 3*, 136−139.
(12) Brecht, M., and Freiwald, W. A. (2012) The many facets of facial interactions in mammals. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 22*, 259−266.
(13) Chang, S. W., Gariepy, J. F., and Platt, M. L. (2013) Neuronal reference frames for social decisions in primate frontal cortex. *Nat. Neurosci 16*, 243−250.
(14) Leitman, D. I., Wolf, D. H., Ragland, J. D., Laukka, P., Loughead, J., Valdez, J. N., Javitt, D. C., Turetsky, B. I., and Gur, R. C. (2010) "It's Not What You Say, But How You Say it": A Reciprocal Temporo-frontal Network for Affective Prosody. *Front. Hum. Neurosci. 4*, 19.
(15) Oosterhof, N. N., and Todorov, A. (2009) Shared perceptual basis of emotional expressions and trustworthiness impressions from faces. *Emotion 9*, 128−133.
(16) Allison, T., Puce, A., and McCarthy, G. (2000) Social perception from visual cues: Role of the STS region. *Trends Cognit. Sci. 4*, 267−278.
(17) Brancucci, A., Lucci, G., Mazzatenta, A., and Tommasi, L. (2009) Asymmetries of the human social brain in the visual, auditory and chemical modalities. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London, Ser. B 364*, 895−914.
(18) Fossati, P. (2012) Neural correlates of emotion processing: from emotional to social brain. *Eur. Neuropsychopharmacol. 22* (Suppl 3), S487−491.
(19) Pessoa, L., and Adolphs, R. (2010) Emotion processing and the amygdala: from a 'low road' to "many roads" of evaluating biological significance. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 11*, 773−783.
(20) Heuer, K., Rinck, M., and Becker, E. S. (2007) Avoidance of emotional facial expressions in social anxiety: The Approach-Avoidance Task. *Behav. Res. Ther. 45*, 2990−3001.
(21) Todorov, A. (2008) Evaluating faces on trustworthiness: an extension of systems for recognition of emotions signaling approach/avoidance behaviors. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1124*, 208−224.
(22) Munafo, M. R., Hayward, G., and Harmer, C. (2006) Selective processing of social threat cues following acute tryptophan depletion. *J. Psychopharmacol. 20*, 33−39.

DOI: 10.1021/acschemneuro.5b00007
ACS Chem. Neurosci. 2015, 6, 1040−1054

(23) Morris, J. S., Ohman, A., and Dolan, R. J. (1998) Conscious and unconscious emotional learning in the human amygdala. *Nature 393*, 467−470.
(24) Bargh, J. A., Chen, M., and Burrows, L. (1996) Automaticity of social behavior: direct effects of trait construct and stereotype-activation on action. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol. 71*, 230−244.
(25) Volman, I., Roelofs, K., Koch, S., Verhagen, L., and Toni, I. (2011) Anterior prefrontal cortex inhibition impairs control over social emotional actions. *Curr. Biol. 21*, 1766−1770.
(26) Novakovic, V., Sher, L., Lapidus, K. A., Mindes, J., J, A. G., and Yehuda, R. (2011) Brain stimulation in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Eur. J. Psychotraumatol. 2*, 5609.
(27) Pantazatos, S. P., Talati, A., Pavlidis, P., and Hirsch, J. (2012) Cortical functional connectivity decodes subconscious, task-irrelevant threat-related emotion processing. *NeuroImage 61*, 1355−1363.
(28) Cahn, D. D., and Frey, L. R. (1992) Listeners' perceived verbal and nonverbal behaviors associated with communicators' perceived understanding and misunderstanding. *Percept. Mot. Skills 74*, 1059−1064.
(29) Davidson, R. J., Ekman, P., Saron, C. D., Senulis, J. A., and Friesen, W. V. (1990) Approach-withdrawal and cerebral asymmetry: emotional expression and brain physiology. I. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol. 58*, 330−341.
(30) Lang, P. J., Bradley, M. M., and Cuthbert, B. N. (1990) Emotion, attention, and the startle reflex. *Psychol. Rev. 97*, 377−395.
(31) Seidel, E. M., Habel, U., Finkelmeyer, A., Schneider, F., Gur, R. C., and Derntl, B. (2010) Implicit and explicit behavioral tendencies in male and female depression. *Psychiatry Res. 177*, 124−130.
(32) Derntl, B., Seidel, E. M., Eickhoff, S. B., Kellermann, T., Gur, R. C., Schneider, F., and Habel, U. (2011) Neural correlates of social approach and withdrawal in patients with major depression. *Soc. Neurosci. 6*, 482−501.
(33) Godard, J., Grondin, S., Baruch, P., and Lafleur, M. F. (2011) Psychosocial and neurocognitive profiles in depressed patients with major depressive disorder and bipolar disorder. *Psychiatry Res. 190*, 244−252.
(34) Radke, S., Guths, F., Andre, J. A., Muller, B. W., and de Bruijn, E. R. (2014) In action or inaction? Social approach−avoidance tendencies in major depression. *Psychiatry Res. 219*, 513−517.
(35) Fava, M., and Kendler, K. S. (2000) Major depressive disorder. *Neuron 28*, 335−341.
(36) Drevets, W. C., Price, J. L., and Furey, M. L. (2008) Brain structural and functional abnormalities in mood disorders: implications for neurocircuitry models of depression. *Brain Struct. Funct. 213*, 93−118.
(37) Hamilton, J. P., Etkin, A., Furman, D. J., Lemus, M. G., Johnson, R. F., and Gotlib, I. H. (2012) Functional neuroimaging of major depressive disorder: a meta-analysis and new integration of base line activation and neural response data. *Am. J. Psychiatry 169*, 693−703.
(38) Liao, C., Feng, Z., Zhou, D., Dai, Q., Xie, B., Ji, B., Wang, X., and Wang, X. (2012) Dysfunction of fronto-limbic brain circuitry in depression. *Neuroscience 201*, 231−238.
(39) Mayberg, H. S. (1997) Limbic-cortical dysregulation: A proposed model of depression. *J. Neuropsychiatry Clin. Neurosci. 9*, 471−481.
(40) Mayberg, H. S. (2003) Modulating dysfunctional limbic-cortical circuits in depression: towards development of brain-based algorithms for diagnosis and optimized treatment. *Br. Med. Bull. 65*, 193−207.
(41) de Kwaasteniet, B., Ruhe, E., Caan, M., Rive, M., Olabarriaga, S., Groefsema, M., Heesink, L., van Wingen, G., and Denys, D. (2013) Relation between structural and functional connectivity in major depressive disorder. *Biol. Psychiatry 74*, 40−47.
(42) Johnstone, T., van Reekum, C. M., Urry, H. L., Kalin, N. H., and Davidson, R. J. (2007) Failure to regulate: counterproductive recruitment of top-down prefrontal-subcortical circuitry in major depression. *J. Neurosci. 27*, 8877−8884.
(43) Murray, E. A., Wise, S. P., and Drevets, W. C. (2011) Localization of dysfunction in major depressive disorder: prefrontal cortex and amygdala. *Biol. Psychiatry 69*, e43−54.
(44) Smith, R., Chen, K., Baxter, L., Fort, C., and Lane, R. D. (2013) Antidepressant effects of sertraline associated with volume increases in dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. *J. Affective Disord. 146*, 414−419.
(45) Vasic, N., Walter, H., Hose, A., and Wolf, R. C. (2008) Gray matter reduction associated with psychopathology and cognitive dysfunction in unipolar depression: a voxel-based morphometry study. *J. Affective Disord. 109*, 107−116.
(46) Bellani, M., Dusi, N., Yeh, P. H., Soares, J. C., and Brambilla, P. (2011) The effects of antidepressants on human brain as detected by imaging studies. Focus on major depression. *Prog. Neuropsychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry 35*, 1544−1552.
(47) Delaveau, P., Jabourian, M., Lemogne, C., Guionnet, S., Bergouignan, L., and Fossati, P. (2011) Brain effects of antidepressants in major depression: A meta-analysis of emotional processing studies. *J. Affective Disord. 130*, 66−74.
(48) Drevets, W. C., Bogers, W., and Raichle, M. E. (2002) Functional anatomical correlates of antidepressant drug treatment assessed using PET measures of regional glucose metabolism. *Eur. Neuropsychopharmacol. 12*, 527−544.
(49) Romera, I., Perez, V., Menchon, J. M., Delgado-Cohen, H., Polavieja, P., and Gilaberte, I. (2010) Social and occupational functioning impairment in patients in partial versus complete remission of a major depressive disorder episode. A six-month prospective epidemiological study. *Eur. Psychiatry 25*, 58−65.
(50) Harmer, C. J., Bhagwagar, Z., Perrett, D. I., Vollm, B. A., Cowen, P. J., and Goodwin, G. M. (2003) Acute SSRI administration affects the processing of social cues in healthy volunteers. *Neuropsychopharmacology 28*, 148−152.
(51) Pringle, A., Browning, M., Cowen, P. J., and Harmer, C. J. (2011) A cognitive neuropsychological model of antidepressant drug action. *Prog. Neuropsychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry 35*, 1586−1592.
(52) Der-Avakian, A., and Markou, A. (2012) The neurobiology of anhedonia and other reward-related deficits. *Trends Neurosci. 35*, 68−77.
(53) Finger, E. C., Marsh, A. A., Mitchell, D. G., Reid, M. E., Sims, C., Budhani, S., Kosson, D. S., Chen, G., Towbin, K. E., Leibenluft, E., Pine, D. S., and Blair, J. R. (2008) Abnormal ventromedial prefrontal cortex function in children with psychopathic traits during reversal learning. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry 65*, 586−594.
(54) Phan, K. L., Wager, T., Taylor, S. F., and Liberzon, I. (2002) Functional neuroanatomy of emotion: A meta-analysis of emotion activation studies in PET and fMRI. *NeuroImage 16*, 331−348.
(55) Haber, S. N., and Knutson, B. (2010) The reward circuit: Linking primate anatomy and human imaging. *Neuropsychopharmacology 35*, 4−26.
(56) Heidbreder, C. A., and Groenewegen, H. J. (2003) The medial prefrontal cortex in the rat: evidence for a dorso-ventral distinction based upon functional and anatomical characteristics. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 27*, 555−579.
(57) Shepherd, G. M. (2013) Corticostriatal connectivity and its role in disease. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 14*, 278−291.
(58) Fuster, J. M. (2000) Executive frontal functions. *Exp. Brain Res. 133*, 66−70.
(59) Miller, E. K., and Cohen, J. D. (2001) An integrative theory of prefrontal cortex function. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 24*, 167−202.
(60) Barbey, A. K., Colom, R., Paul, E. J., Chau, A., Solomon, J., and Grafman, J. H. (2014) Lesion mapping of social problem solving. *Brain 137*, 2823−2833.
(61) Damasio, A. R., Tranel, D., and Damasio, H. (1990) Individuals with sociopathic behavior caused by frontal damage fail to respond autonomically to social stimuli. *Behav. Brain Res. 41*, 81−94.
(62) Wolf, R. C., Philippi, C. L., Motzkin, J. C., Baskaya, M. K., and Koenigs, M. (2014) Ventromedial prefrontal cortex mediates visual attention during facial emotion recognition. *Brain 137*, 1772−1780.
(63) Adolphs, R. (2014) Social attention and the ventromedial prefrontal cortex. *Brain 137*, 1572−1574.
(64) Winecoff, A., Clithero, J. A., Carter, R. M., Bergman, S. R., Wang, L., and Huettel, S. A. (2013) Ventromedial prefrontal cortex encodes emotional value. *J. Neurosci. 33*, 11032−11039.

DOI: 10.1021/acschemneuro.5b00007
ACS Chem. Neurosci. 2015, 6, 1040−1054

(65) Boksem, M. A., Smolders, R., and De Cremer, D. (2012) Social power and approach-related neural activity. *Soc. Cognit. Affective Neurosci. 7*, 516−520.
(66) Quirin, M., Meyer, F., Heise, N., Kuhl, J., Kustermann, E., Struber, D., and Cacioppo, J. T. (2013) Neural correlates of social motivation: an fMRI study on power versus affiliation. *Int. J. Psychophysiol. 88*, 289−295.
(67) Graeff, F. G., Guimaraes, F. S., De Andrade, T. G., and Deakin, J. F. (1996) Role of 5-HT in stress, anxiety, and depression. *Pharmacol., Biochem. Behav. 54*, 129−141.
(68) Bilderbeck, A. C., McCabe, C., Wakeley, J., McGlone, F., Harris, T., Cowen, P. J., and Rogers, R. D. (2011) Serotonergic activity influences the cognitive appraisal of close intimate relationships in healthy adults. *Biol. Psychiatry 69*, 720−725.
(69) Crockett, M. J., Apergis-Schoute, A., Herrmann, B., Lieberman, M. D., Muller, U., Robbins, T. W., and Clark, L. (2013) Serotonin modulates striatal responses to fairness and retaliation in humans. *J. Neurosci. 33*, 3505−3513.
(70) Crockett, M. J., Clark, L., Apergis-Schoute, A. M., Morein-Zamir, S., and Robbins, T. W. (2012) Serotonin modulates the effects of Pavlovian aversive predictions on response vigor. *Neuropsychopharmacology 37*, 2244−2252.
(71) Harmer, C. J., Shelley, N. C., Cowen, P. J., and Goodwin, G. M. (2004) Increased positive versus negative affective perception and memory in healthy volunteers following selective serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibition. *Am. J. Psychiatry 161*, 1256−1263.
(72) Robinson, O. J., Overstreet, C., Allen, P. S., Letkiewicz, A., Vytal, K., Pine, D. S., and Grillon, C. (2013) The role of serotonin in the neurocircuitry of negative affective bias: Serotonergic modulation of the dorsal medial prefrontal-amygdala "aversive amplification" circuit. *NeuroImage 78*, 217−223.
(73) Young, S. N. (2013) The effect of raising and lowering tryptophan levels on human mood and social behaviour. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London, Ser. B 368*, 20110375.
(74) Miller, J. M., Hesselgrave, N., Ogden, R. T., Sullivan, G. M., Oquendo, M. A., Mann, J. J., and Parsey, R. V. (2013) Positron emission tomography quantification of serotonin transporter in suicide attempters with major depressive disorder. *Biol. Psychiatry 74*, 287−295.
(75) Verhagen, M., van der Meij, A., Janzing, J. G., Arias-Vasquez, A., Buitelaar, J. K., and Franke, B. (2009) Effect of the 5-HTTLPR polymorphism in the serotonin transporter gene on major depressive disorder and related comorbid disorders. *Psychiatr. Genet. 19*, 39−44.
(76) Volman, I., Verhagen, L., den Ouden, H. E., Fernandez, G., Rijpkema, M., Franke, B., Toni, I., and Roelofs, K. (2013) Reduced serotonin transporter availability decreases prefrontal control of the amygdala. *J. Neurosci. 33*, 8974−8979.
(77) Brown, S. M., Peet, E., Manuck, S. B., Williamson, D. E., Dahl, R. E., Ferrell, R. E., and Hariri, A. R. (2005) A regulatory variant of the human tryptophan hydroxylase-2 gene biases amygdala reactivity. *Mol. Psychiatry 10* (884−888), 805.
(78) Hariri, A. R., Mattay, V. S., Tessitore, A., Kolachana, B., Fera, F., Goldman, D., Egan, M. F., and Weinberger, D. R. (2002) Serotonin transporter genetic variation and the response of the human amygdala. *Science 297*, 400−403.
(79) Fisher, P. M., and Hariri, A. R. (2013) Identifying serotonergic mechanisms underlying the corticolimbic response to threat in humans. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London, Ser. B 368*, 20120192.
(80) Cervantes, M. C., and Delville, Y. (2009) Serotonin 5-HT1A and 5-HT3 receptors in an impulsive-aggressive phenotype. *Behav. Neurosci. 123*, 589−598.
(81) Clark, M. S., and Neumaier, J. F. (2001) The 5-HT1B receptor: behavioral implications. *Psychopharmacol. Bull. 35*, 170−185.
(82) Fisher, P. M., Price, J. C., Meltzer, C. C., Moses-Kolko, E. L., Becker, C., Berga, S. L., and Hariri, A. R. (2011) Medial prefrontal cortex serotonin 1A and 2A receptor binding interacts to predict threat-related amygdala reactivity. *Biol. Mood Anxiety Disord. 1*, 2.
(83) Kranz, G. S., Hahn, A., Savli, M., and Lanzenberger, R. (2012) Challenges in the differentiation of midbrain raphe nuclei in neuroimaging research. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 109*, E2000.
(84) Son, Y. D., Cho, Z. H., Choi, E. J., Kim, J. H., Kim, H. K., Lee, S. Y., Chi, J. G., Park, C. W., Kim, J. H., and Kim, Y. B. (2014) Individually differentiated serotonergic raphe nuclei measured with brain PET/MR imaging. *Radiology 272*, 541−548.
(85) Ford, A. A., Colon-Perez, L., Triplett, W. T., Gullett, J. M., Mareci, T. H., and Fitzgerald, D. B. (2013) Imaging white matter in human brainstem. *Front. Hum. Neurosci. 7*, 400.
(86) Lehman, J. F., Greenberg, B. D., McIntyre, C. C., Rasmussen, S. A., and Haber, S. N. (2011) Rules ventral prefrontal cortical axons use to reach their targets: implications for diffusion tensor imaging tractography and deep brain stimulation for psychiatric illness. *J. Neurosci. 31*, 10392−10402.
(87) Freedman, L. J., Insel, T. R., and Smith, Y. (2000) Subcortical projections of area 25 (subgenual cortex) of the macaque monkey. *J. Comp. Neurol. 421*, 172−188.
(88) Jbabdi, S., Lehman, J. F., Haber, S. N., and Behrens, T. E. (2013) Human and monkey ventral prefrontal fibers use the same organizational principles to reach their targets: Tracing versus tractography. *J. Neurosci. 33*, 3190−3201.
(89) Song, Y. J., Korgaonkar, M. S., Armstrong, L. V., Eagles, S., Williams, L. M., and Grieve, S. M. (2014) Tractography of the brainstem in major depressive disorder using diffusion tensor imaging. *PLoS One 9*, e84825.
(90) Gutman, D. A., Holtzheimer, P. E., Behrens, T. E., Johansen-Berg, H., and Mayberg, H. S. (2009) A tractography analysis of two deep brain stimulation white matter targets for depression. *Biol. Psychiatry 65*, 276−282.
(91) Fisher, P. M., Meltzer, C. C., Ziolko, S. K., Price, J. C., Moses-Kolko, E. L., Berga, S. L., and Hariri, A. R. (2006) Capacity for 5-HT1A-mediated autoregulation predicts amygdala reactivity. *Nat. Neurosci. 9*, 1362−1363.
(92) Lee, H. Y., Tae, W. S., Yoon, H. K., Lee, B. T., Paik, J. W., Son, K. R., Oh, Y. W., Lee, M. S., and Ham, B. J. (2011) Demonstration of decreased gray matter concentration in the midbrain encompassing the dorsal raphe nucleus and the limbic subcortical regions in major depressive disorder: an optimized voxel-based morphometry study. *J. Affective Disord. 133*, 128−136.
(93) Stockmeier, C. A., Dilley, G. E., Shapiro, L. A., Overholser, J. C., Thompson, P. A., and Meltzer, H. Y. (1997) Serotonin receptors in suicide victims with major depression. *Neuropsychopharmacology 16*, 162−173.
(94) Boldrini, M., Underwood, M. D., Mann, J. J., and Arango, V. (2005) More tryptophan hydroxylase in the brainstem dorsal raphe nucleus in depressed suicides. *Brain Res. 1041*, 19−28.
(95) Passamonti, L., Crockett, M. J., Apergis-Schoute, A. M., Clark, L., Rowe, J. B., Calder, A. J., and Robbins, T. W. (2012) Effects of acute tryptophan depletion on prefrontal-amygdala connectivity while viewing facial signals of aggression. *Biol. Psychiatry 71*, 36−43.
(96) Rogers, R. D. (2011) The roles of dopamine and serotonin in decision making: evidence from pharmacological experiments in humans. *Neuropsychopharmacology 36*, 114−132.
(97) Albert, P. R. (2012) Transcriptional regulation of the 5-HT1A receptor: implications for mental illness. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London, Ser. B 367*, 2402−2415.
(98) Homberg, J. R., Molteni, R., Calabrese, and Riva, M. A. (2014) The serotonin-BDNF duo: developmental implications for the vulnerability to psychopathology. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 43*, 35−47.
(99) Wellman, C. L., Camp, M., Jones, V. M., MacPherson, K. P., Ihne, J., Fitzgerald, P., Maroun, M., Drabant, E., Bogdan, R., Hariri, A. R., and Holmes, A. (2013) Convergent effects of mouse Pet-1 deletion and human PET-1 variation on amygdala fear and threat processing. *Exp. Neurol. 250*, 260−269.
(100) Kerman, I. A., Bernard, R., Bunney, W. E., Jones, E. G., Schatzberg, A. F., Myers, R. M., Barchas, J. D., Akil, H., Watson, S. J., and Thompson, R. C. (2012) Evidence for transcriptional factor

DOI: 10.1021/acschemneuro.5b00007
ACS Chem. Neurosci. 2015, 6, 1040−1054

dysregulation in the dorsal raphe nucleus of patients with major depressive disorder. *Front. Neurosci. 6*, 135.
(101) Fu, W., Le Maitre, E., Fabre, V., Bernard, J. F., Xu, Z.-Q. D., and Hokfelt, T. (2010) Chemical neuroanatomy of the dorsal raphe nucleus and adjacent structures of the mouse brain. *J. Comp. Neurol. 518*, 3464−3494.
(102) Vasudeva, R. K., Lin, R. C., Simpson, K. L., and Waterhouse, B. D. (2011) Functional organization of the dorsal raphe efferent system with special consideration of nitrergic cell groups. *J. Chem. Neuroanat. 41*, 281−293.
(103) Xie, H., Han, F., and Shi, X. (2012) Single-prolonged stress induce changes of CaM/CaMKIIalpha in the rats of dorsal raphe nucleus. *Neurochem. Res. 37*, 1043−1049.
(104) Gocho, Y., Sakai, A., Yanagawa, Y., Suzuki, H., and Saitow, F. (2013) Electrophysiological and pharmacological properties of GABAergic cells in the dorsal raphe nucleus. *J. Physiol. Sci. 63*, 147−154.
(105) Dougalis, A. G., Matthews, G. A., Bishop, M. W., Brischoux, F., Kobayashi, K., and Ungless, M. A. (2012) Functional properties of dopamine neurons and co-expression of vasoactive intestinal polypeptide in the dorsal raphe nucleus and ventro-lateral periaqueductal grey. *Eur. J. Neurosci. 36*, 3322−3332.
(106) Calizo, L. H., Akanwa, A., Ma, X., Pan, Y. Z., Lemos, J. C., Craige, C., Heemstra, L. A., and Beck, S. G. (2011) Raphe serotonin neurons are not homogenous: Electrophysiological, morphological and neurochemical evidence. *Neuropharmacology 61*, 524−543.
(107) Crawford, L. K., Craige, C. P., and Beck, S. G. (2010) Increased intrinsic excitability of lateral wing serotonin neurons of the dorsal raphe: A mechanism for selective activation in stress circuits. *J. Neurophysiol. 103*, 2652−2663.
(108) Alekseyenko, O. V., Lee, C., and Kravitz, E. A. (2010) Targeted manipulation of serotonergic neurotransmission affects the escalation of aggression in adult male *Drosophila melanogaster*. *PLoS One 5*, e10806.
(109) Kravitz, E. A. (2000) Serotonin and aggression: insights gained from a lobster model system and speculations on the role of amine neurons in a complex behavior. *J. Comp. Physiol., A 186*, 221−238.
(110) Stevenson, P. A., and Schildberger, K. (2013) Mechanisms of experience dependent control of aggression in crickets. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 23*, 318−323.
(111) Teles, M. C., Dahlbom, S. J., Winberg, S., and Oliveira, R. F. (2013) Social modulation of brain monoamine levels in zebrafish. *Behav. Brain Res. 253*, 17−24.
(112) Young, S. N., and Leyton, M. (2002) The role of serotonin in human mood and social interaction. Insight from altered tryptophan levels. *Pharmacol., Biochem. Behav. 71*, 857−865.
(113) Chiba, T., Kayahara, T., and Nakano, K. (2001) Efferent projections of infralimbic and prelimbic areas of the medial prefrontal cortex in the Japanese monkey, Macaca fuscata. *Brain Res. 888*, 83−101.
(114) Hajos, M., Richards, C. D., Szekely, A. D., and Sharp, T. (1998) An electrophysiological and neuroanatomical study of the medial prefrontal cortical projection to the midbrain raphe nuclei in the rat. *Neuroscience 87*, 95−108.
(115) Jankowski, M. P., and Sesack, S. R. (2004) Prefrontal cortical projections to the rat dorsal raphe nucleus: ultrastructural features and associations with serotonin and gamma-aminobutyric acid neurons. *J. Comp. Neurol. 468*, 518−529.
(116) Vertes, R. P. (2004) Differential projections of the infralimbic and prelimbic cortex in the rat. *Synapse 51*, 32−58.
(117) Aghajanian, G. K., and Wang, R. Y. (1977) Habenular and other midbrain raphe afferents demonstrated by a modified retrograde tracing technique. *Brain Res. 122*, 229−242.
(118) Gabbott, P. L., Warner, T. A., Jays, P. R., Salway, P., and Busby, S. J. (2005) Prefrontal cortex in the rat: Projections to subcortical autonomic, motor, and limbic centers. *J. Comp. Neurol. 492*, 145−177.
(119) Goncalves, L., Nogueira, M. I., Shammah-Lagnado, S. J., and Metzger, M. (2009) Prefrontal afferents to the dorsal raphe nucleus in the rat. *Brain Res. Bull. 78*, 240−247.
(120) Vazquez-Borsetti, P., Cortes, R., and Artigas, F. (2009) Pyramidal neurons in rat prefrontal cortex projecting to ventral tegmental area and dorsal raphe nucleus express 5-HT2A receptors. *Cereb. Cortex 19*, 1678−1686.
(121) Varga, V., Szekely, A. D., Csillag, A., Sharp, T., and Hajos, M. (2001) Evidence for a role of GABA interneurones in the cortical modulation of midbrain 5-hydroxytryptamine neurones. *Neuroscience 106*, 783−792.
(122) Celada, P., Puig, M. V., Casanovas, J. M., Guillazo, G., and Artigas, F. (2001) Control of dorsal raphe serotonergic neurons by the medial prefrontal cortex: Involvement of serotonin-1A, GABA(A), and glutamate receptors. *J. Neurosci. 21*, 9917−9929.
(123) Challis, C., Boulden, J., Veerakumar, A., Espallergues, J., Vassoler, F. M., Pierce, R. C., Beck, S. G., and Berton, O. (2013) Raphe GABAergic neurons mediate the acquisition of avoidance after social defeat. *J. Neurosci. 33* (13978−13988), 13988a.
(124) Shikanai, H., Yoshida, T., Konno, K., Yamasaki, M., Izumi, T., Ohmura, Y., Watanabe, M., and Yoshioka, M. (2012) Distinct neurochemical and functional properties of GAD67-containing 5-HT neurons in the rat dorsal raphe nucleus. *J. Neurosci. 32*, 14415−14426.
(125) Challis, C., Beck, S. G., and Berton, O. (2014) Optogenetic modulation of descending prefrontocortical inputs to the dorsal raphe bidirectionally bias socioaffective choices after social defeat. *Front. Behav. Neurosci. 8*, 43.
(126) Amemori, K., and Graybiel, A. M. (2012) Localized microstimulation of primate pregenual cingulate cortex induces negative decision-making. *Nat. Neurosci. 15*, 776−785.
(127) Pollak Dorocic, I., Furth, D., Xuan, Y., Johansson, Y., Pozzi, L., Silberberg, G., Carlen, M., and Meletis, K. (2014) A whole-brain atlas of inputs to serotonergic neurons of the dorsal and median raphe nuclei. *Neuron 83*, 663−678.
(128) Weissbourd, B., Ren, J., DeLoach, K. E., Guenthner, C. J., Miyamichi, K., and Luo, L. (2014) Presynaptic partners of dorsal raphe serotonergic and GABAergic neurons. *Neuron 83*, 645−662.
(129) Dembrow, N., and Johnston, D. (2014) Subcircuit-specific neuromodulation in the prefrontal cortex. *Front. Neural Circuits 8*, 54.
(130) Hattox, A. M., and Nelson, S. B. (2007) Layer V neurons in mouse cortex projecting to different targets have distinct physiological properties. *J. Neurophysiol. 98*, 3330−3340.
(131) Berton, O., and Nestler, E. J. (2006) New approaches to antidepressant drug discovery: beyond monoamines. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 7*, 137−151.
(132) Bjorkqvist, K. (2001) Social defeat as a stressor in humans. *Physiol. Behav. 73*, 435−442.
(133) Kudryavtseva, N. N., Bakshtanovskaya, I. V., and Koryakina, L. A. (1991) Social model of depression in mice of C57BL/6J strain. *Pharmacol., Biochem. Behav. 38*, 315−320.
(134) Koolhaas, J. M., Meerlo, P., de Boer, S. F., Strubbe, J. H., and Bohus, B. (1997) The temporal dynamics of the stress response. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 21*, 775−782.
(135) Miczek, K. A., Yap, J. J., and Covington, H. E., 3rd. (2008) Social stress, therapeutics and drug abuse: preclinical models of escalated and depressed intake. *Pharmacol. Ther. 120*, 102−128.
(136) Chaouloff, F. (2013) Social stress models in depression research: What do they tell us? *Cell Tissue Res. 354*, 179−190.
(137) Berton, O., McClung, C. A., Dileone, R. J., Krishnan, V., Renthal, W., Russo, S. J., Graham, D., Tsankova, N. M., Bolanos, C. A., Rios, M., Monteggia, L. M., Self, D. W., and Nestler, E. J. (2006) Essential role of BDNF in the mesolimbic dopamine pathway in social defeat stress. *Science 311*, 864−868.
(138) Lehmann, M. L., Geddes, C. E., Lee, J. L., and Herkenham, M. (2013) Urine scent marking (USM): A novel test for depressive-like behavior and a predictor of stress resiliency in mice. *PLoS One 8*, e69822.
(139) Cooper, M. A., and Huhman, K. L. (2007) Corticotropin-releasing factor receptors in the dorsal raphe nucleus modulate social behavior in Syrian hamsters. *Psychopharmacology (Berlin, Ger.) 194*, 297−307.

DOI: 10.1021/acschemneuro.5b00007
ACS Chem. Neurosci. 2015, 6, 1040−1054

(140) Kollack-Walker, S., Watson, S. J., and Akil, H. (1997) Social stress in hamsters: Defeat activates specific neurocircuits within the brain. *J. Neurosci. 17*, 8842−8855.
(141) Golden, S. A., Covington, H. E., 3rd, Berton, O., and Russo, S. J. (2011) A standardized protocol for repeated social defeat stress in mice. *Nat. Protoc. 6*, 1183−1191.
(142) Krishnan, V., Berton, O., and Nestler, E. (2008) The use of animal models in psychiatric research and treatment. *Am. J. Psychiatry 165*, 1109.
(143) Donahue, R. J., Muschamp, J. W., Russo, S. J., Nestler, E. J., and Carlezon, W. A., Jr. (2014) Effects of striatal DeltaFosB overexpression and ketamine on social defeat stress-induced anhedonia in mice. *Biol. Psychiatry 76*, 550−558.
(144) van Peer, J. M., Spinhoven, P., and Roelofs, K. (2010) Psychophysiological evidence for cortisol-induced reduction in early bias for implicit social threat in social phobia. *Psychoneuroendocrinology 35*, 21−32.
(145) Vincent, M. Y., and Jacobson, L. (2014) Glucocorticoid receptor deletion from the dorsal raphe nucleus of mice reduces dysphoria-like behavior and impairs hypothalamic-pituitary-adreno-cortical axis feedback inhibition. *Eur. J. Neurosci. 39*, 1671−1681.
(146) Espallergues, J., Teegarden, S. L., Veerakumar, A., Boulden, J., Challis, C., Jochems, J., Chan, M., Petersen, T., Deneris, E., Matthias, P., Hahn, C. G., Lucki, I., Beck, S. G., and Berton, O. (2012) HDAC6 regulates glucocorticoid receptor signaling in serotonin pathways with critical impact on stress resilience. *J. Neurosci. 32*, 4400−4416.
(147) Haller, J., Halasz, J., Mikics, E., and Kruk, M. R. (2004) Chronic glucocorticoid deficiency-induced abnormal aggression, autonomic hypoarousal, and social deficit in rats. *J. Neuroendocrinol. 16*, 550−557.
(148) Lehmann, M. L., Brachman, R. A., Martinowich, K., Schloesser, R. J., and Herkenham, M. (2013) Glucocorticoids orchestrate divergent effects on mood through adult neurogenesis. *J. Neurosci. 33*, 2961−2972.
(149) Sachs, B. D., Rodriguiz, R. M., Tran, H. L., Iyer, A., Wetsel, W. C., and Caron, M. G. (2015) Serotonin deficiency alters susceptibility to the long-term consequences of adverse early life experience. *Psychoneuroendocrinology 53C*, 69−81.
(150) Temel, Y., Helmy, A., Pinnock, S., and Herbert, J. (2003) Effect of serotonin depletion on the neuronal, endocrine and behavioural responses to corticotropin-releasing factor in the rat. *Neurosci. Lett. 338*, 139−142.
(151) Zhang, W. Q., Smolik, C. M., Barba-Escobedo, P. A., Gamez, M., Sanchez, J. J., Javors, M. A., Daws, L. C., and Gould, G. G. (2014) Acute dietary tryptophan manipulation differentially alters social behavior, brain serotonin and plasma corticosterone in three inbred mouse strains. *Neuropharmacology 90C*, 1−8.
(152) Beacher, F. D., Gray, M. A., Minati, L., Whale, R., Harrison, N. A., and Critchley, H. D. (2011) Acute tryptophan depletion attenuates conscious appraisal of social emotional signals in healthy female volunteers. *Psychopharmacology (Berlin, Ger.) 213*, 603−613.
(153) Hamani, C., Diwan, M., Macedo, C. E., Brandao, M. L., Shumake, J., Gonzalez-Lima, F., Raymond, R., Lozano, A. M., Fletcher, P. J., and Nobrega, J. N. (2010) Antidepressant-like effects of medial prefrontal cortex deep brain stimulation in rats. *Biol. Psychiatry 67*, 117−124.
(154) Veerakumar, A., Challis, C., Gupta, P., Da, J., Upadhyay, A., Beck, S. G., and Berton, O. (2014) Antidepressant-like effects of cortical deep brain stimulation coincide with pro-neuroplastic adaptations of serotonin systems. *Biol. Psychiatry 76*, 203−212.
(155) Holtzheimer, P. E., Kelley, M. E., Gross, R. E., Filkowski, M. M., Garlow, S. J., Barrocas, A., Wint, D., Craighead, M. C., Kozarsky, J., Chismar, R., Moreines, J. L., Mewes, K., Posse, P. R., Gutman, D. A., and Mayberg, H. S. (2012) Subcallosal cingulate deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant unipolar and bipolar depression. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry 69*, 150−158.
(156) Lozano, A. M., Mayberg, H. S., Giacobbe, P., Hamani, C., Craddock, R. C., and Kennedy, S. H. (2008) Subcallosal cingulate gyrus deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant depression. *Biol. Psychiatry 64*, 461−467.
(157) Berton, O., Covington, H. E., 3rd, Ebner, K., Tsankova, N. M., Carle, T. L., Ulery, P., Bhonsle, A., Barrot, M., Krishnan, V., Singewald, G. M., Singewald, N., Birnbaum, S., Neve, R. L., and Nestler, E. J. (2007) Induction of deltaFosB in the periaqueductal gray by stress promotes active coping responses. *Neuron 55*, 289−300.
(158) Liu, Z., Zhou, J., Li, Y., Hu, F., Lu, Y., Ma, M., Feng, Q., Zhang, J. E., Wang, D., Zeng, J., Bao, J., Kim, J. Y., Chen, Z. F., El Mestikawy, S., and Luo, M. (2014) Dorsal raphe neurons signal reward through 5-HT and glutamate. *Neuron 81*, 1360−1374.
(159) Miyazaki, K. W., Miyazaki, K., Tanaka, K. F., Yamanaka, A., Takahashi, A., Tabuchi, S., and Doya, K. (2014) Optogenetic activation of dorsal raphe serotonin neurons enhances patience for future rewards. *Curr. Biol. 24*, 2033−2040.
(160) Dolen, G., Darvishzadeh, A., Huang, K. W., and Malenka, R. C. (2013) Social reward requires coordinated activity of nucleus accumbens oxytocin and serotonin. *Nature 501*, 179−184.
(161) Qi, J., Zhang, S., Wang, H. L., Wang, H., de Jesus Aceves Buendia, J., Hoffman, A. F., Lupica, C. R., Seal, R. P., and Morales, M. (2014) A glutamatergic reward input from the dorsal raphe to ventral tegmental area dopamine neurons. *Nat. Commun. 5*, 5390.
(162) Kumar, S., Black, S. J., Hultman, R., Szabo, S. T., DeMaio, K. D., Du, J., Katz, B. M., Feng, G., Covington, H. E., 3rd, and Dzirasa, K. (2013) Cortical control of affective networks. *J. Neurosci. 33*, 1116−1129.
(163) Kumar, S., Hultman, R., Hughes, D., Michel, N., Katz, B. M., and Dzirasa, K. (2014) Prefrontal cortex reactivity underlies trait vulnerability to chronic social defeat stress. *Nat. Commun. 5*, 4537.
(164) Dzirasa, K., Kumar, S., Sachs, B. D., Caron, M. G., and Nicolelis, M. A. (2013) Cortical-amygdalar circuit dysfunction in a genetic mouse model of serotonin deficiency. *J. Neurosci. 33*, 4505−4513.
(165) Amat, J., Aleksejev, R. M., Paul, E., Watkins, L. R., and Maier, S. F. (2010) Behavioral control over shock blocks behavioral and neurochemical effects of later social defeat. *Neuroscience 165*, 1031−1038.
(166) Stoltenberg, S. F., Christ, C. C., and Carlo, G. (2013) Afraid to help: social anxiety partially mediates the association between 5-HTTLPR triallelic genotype and prosocial behavior. *Soc. Neurosci. 8*, 400−406.
(167) Carlson, J. M., Mujica-Parodi, L. R., Harmon-Jones, E., and Hajcak, G. (2012) The orienting of spatial attention to backward masked fearful faces is associated with variation in the serotonin transporter gene. *Emotion 12*, 203−207.
(168) Perez-Edgar, K., Bar-Haim, Y., McDermott, J. M., Gorodetsky, E., Hodgkinson, C. A., Goldman, D., Ernst, M., Pine, D. S., and Fox, N. A. (2010) Variations in the serotonin-transporter gene are associated with attention bias patterns to positive and negative emotion faces. *Biol. Psychol. 83*, 269−271.
(169) Pergamin-Hight, L., Bakermans-Kranenburg, M. J., van Ijzendoorn, M. H., and Bar-Haim, Y. (2012) Variations in the promoter region of the serotonin transporter gene and biased attention for emotional information: a meta-analysis. *Biol. Psychiatry 71*, 373−379.
(170) Watson, K. K., Ghodasra, J. H., and Platt, M. L. (2009) Serotonin transporter genotype modulates social reward and punishment in rhesus macaques. *PLoS One 4*, e4156.
(171) Bartolomucci, A., Carola, V., Pascucci, T., Puglisi-Allegra, S., Cabib, S., Lesch, K. P., Parmigiani, S., Palanza, P., and Gross, C. (2010) Increased vulnerability to psychosocial stress in heterozygous serotonin transporter knockout mice. *Dis Models & Mech. 3*, 459−470.
(172) Holmes, A., Murphy, D. L., and Crawley, J. N. (2002) Reduced aggression in mice lacking the serotonin transporter. *Psychopharmacology (Berlin, Ger.) 161*, 160−167.
(173) Lewejohann, L., Kloke, V., Heiming, R. S., Jansen, F., Kaiser, S., Schmitt, A., Lesch, K. P., and Sachser, N. (2010) Social status and day-to-day behaviour of male serotonin transporter knockout mice. *Behav. Brain Res. 211*, 220−228.

DOI: 10.1021/acschemneuro.5b00007
ACS Chem. Neurosci. 2015, 6, 1040−1054

(174) Kane, M. J., Angoa-Perez, M., Briggs, D. I., Sykes, C. E., Francescutti, D. M., Rosenberg, D. R., and Kuhn, D. M. (2012) Mice genetically depleted of brain serotonin display social impairments, communication deficits and repetitive behaviors: possible relevance to autism. *PLoS One 7*, e48975.
(175) Murphy, S. E., Longhitano, C., Ayres, R. E., Cowen, P. J., and Harmer, C. J. (2006) Tryptophan supplementation induces a positive bias in the processing of emotional material in healthy female volunteers. *Psychopharmacology (Berlin, Ger.) 187*, 121−130.
(176) Moskowitz, D. S., Pinard, G., Zuroff, D. C., Annable, L., and Young, S. N. (2001) The effect of tryptophan on social interaction in everyday life: a placebo-controlled study. *Neuropsychopharmacology 25*, 277−289.
(177) Browning, M., Reid, C., Cowen, P. J., Goodwin, G. M., and Harmer, C. J. (2007) A single dose of citalopram increases fear recognition in healthy subjects. *J. Psychopharmacol. 21*, 684−690.
(178) Hysek, C. M., Schmid, Y., Simmler, L. D., Domes, G., Heinrichs, M., Eisenegger, C., Preller, K. H., Quednow, B. B., and Liechti, M. E. (2014) MDMA enhances emotional empathy and prosocial behavior. *Soc. Cogn. Affective Neurosci. 9*, 1645−1652.
(179) Majumder, I., White, J. M., and Irvine, R. J. (2012) Antidepressant-like effects of ecstasy in subjects with a predisposition to depression. *Addict. Behav. 37*, 1189−1192.
(180) Jochems, J., Boulden, J., Lee, B. G., Blendy, J. A., Jarpe, M., Mazitschek, R., Van Duzer, J. H., Jones, S., and Berton, O. (2014) Antidepressant-like properties of novel HDAC6-selective inhibitors with improved brain bioavailability. *Neuropsychopharmacology 39*, 389−400.
(181) Morley, K. C., Arnold, J. C., and McGregor, I. S. (2005) Serotonin (1A) receptor involvement in acute 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA) facilitation of social interaction in the rat. *Prog. Neuropsychopharmacol Biol. Psychiatry 29*, 648−657.
(182) Morley, K. C., and McGregor, I. S. (2000) (±)-3,4-Methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA, 'Ecstasy') increases social interaction in rats. *Eur. J. Pharmacol. 408*, 41−49.
(183) Hilimire, M. R., Mayberg, H. S., Holtzheimer, P. E., Broadway, J. M., Parks, N. A., DeVylder, J. E., and Corballis, P. M. (2015) Effects of Subcallosal Cingulate Deep Brain Stimulation on Negative Self-bias in Patients With Treatment-resistant Depression. *Brain Stimul. 8*, 185−191.
(184) Covington, H. E., 3rd, Lobo, M. K., Maze, I., Vialou, V., Hyman, J. M., Zaman, S., LaPlant, Q., Mouzon, E., Ghose, S., Tamminga, C. A., Neve, R. L., Deisseroth, K., and Nestler, E. J. (2010) Antidepressant effect of optogenetic stimulation of the medial prefrontal cortex. *J. Neurosci. 30*, 16082−16090.
(185) Yizhar, O., Fenno, L. E., Prigge, M., Schneider, F., Davidson, T. J., O'Shea, D. J., Sohal, V. S., Goshen, I., Finkelstein, J., Paz, J. T., Stehfest, K., Fudim, R., Ramakrishnan, C., Huguenard, J. R., Hegemann, P., and Deisseroth, K. (2011) Neocortical excitation/inhibition balance in information processing and social dysfunction. *Nature 477*, 171−178.
(186) Warden, M. R., Selimbeyoglu, A., Mirzabekov, J. J., Lo, M., Thompson, K. R., Kim, S. Y., Adhikari, A., Tye, K. M., Frank, L. M., and Deisseroth, K. (2012) A prefrontal cortex-brainstem neuronal projection that controls response to behavioural challenge. *Nature 492* (7429), 428−432.
(187) Sachs, B., Ni, J., and Caron, M. (2015) Brain 5-HT deficiency increases stress vulnerability and impairs antidepressant responses following psychosocial stress. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 112*, 2557−2562.

DOI: 10.1021/acschemneuro.5b00007
ACS Chem. Neurosci. 2015, 6, 1040−1054